Helicobacter pylori-Induced Alteration of Epithelial Cell Signaling and Polarity: A Possible Mechanism of Gastric Carcinoma Etiology and Disparity
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Gastric cancer, a disease of disparity associated with Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) infection, is the world’s second leading cause of cancer deaths. The pathogen H. pylori targets the epithelial adhesion receptors, E-cadherin, and β1-integrin, to modulate the host cytoskeleton via disruption of the epithelial cell polarity necessary for maintaining the infection, but how this leads to the development of the carcinoma is widely unclear. While Rho family GTPases’ signaling to the cytoskeleton and these receptors is required for initiating and maintaining the infection, the responsible effectors, and how they might influence the etiology of the carcinomas are currently unknown. Here we discuss the potential role of the Cdc42-IQGAP1 axis, a negative regulator of the tumor suppressors E-cadherin and β1-integrin, as a potential driver of H. pylori-induced gastric carcinoma and propose avenues for addressing its disparity. Chronic dysfunction of the IQGAP1-signaling pathway, resulting from H. pylori-induced disruption of cell polarity, can explain the pathogenesis of the carcinoma, at least, in subsets of infected population, and thus could provide a potential means for personalized medicine. © 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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Development and Disparity of Gastric Carcinomas

Gastric carcinomas (hereon referred to as GC) are malignant tumors that arise from hyperproliferation of the stomach epithelial cells in Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori)-infected patients, and are accompanied by hypochlorhydria (low-acid secretion), and atrophic gastritis, which is believed to be the precursor of the carcinoma [Fox et al., 2006]. The ulcerogenic bacterium H. pylori, which infect about half of the world’s population, is a gram-negative spiral flagellated bacteria designated by the World Health Organization as a class-I carcinogen. Epithelial cells are differentiated in a distinctive apical–basal axis of polarity required for polarized secretion, and loss of this polarity represents the first hallmark feature of oncogenic transformation leading to carcinoma [Tanos and Rodriguz-Boulan, 2008; Nelson, 2009]. Level of epithelial cell differentiation distinguishes the two major anatomic types of gastric carcinoma; the intestinal/diffuse [Lauren, 1965]. Unlike the intestinal-type, the diffuse-type is characterized by poorly differentiated (polarized) cells and has potential for high metastasis, rapid disease progression, and poor prognosis. Not only that the molecular basis of this difference is poorly defined, but also the basis of tumorigenesis remains unknown. While there has been a worldwide decline in the incidence of the intestinal-type, there has been a rise in the incidence of the diffuse-type, which is mainly found in children [Fox et al., 2006; Bauer and Meyer, 2011]. Remarkably, ~50% of the world population is infected, whereas only about 5% of this group develop GC and account for the second leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide [Fox et al., 2006; Bauer and Meyer, 2011], thus presenting GC as a prototype of cancer disparity.

Intriguingly, GC afflicts twice as many males as females worldwide, and it burdens poorer populations and ethnic minorities in the United States [Ward et al., 2004; Fox et al., 2006]. Thus, the disparity in GC incidence and mortality has been attributed to variable factors, including race, gender, age, individual behavior, socioeconomic status, cultural beliefs, health care systems, poor nutrition, obesity...
and tobacco use [Ward et al., 2004; Kamangar et al., 2006; Wiredu and Armah, 2006]. The discrepancy between the rates of high infection and low incidence of carcinoma in Africa inspired an unrealized pursuit of the mechanism of the “African enigma” [Fox et al., 2006], and led to the proposition of a protective value of _H. pylori_ infection to some indigenous diseases [Bauer and Meyer, 2011]. The important caveats, however, are the lack of accurate population statistics, limited cancer registry and diagnostics, which could also explain the large regional variation of GC incidence in Sub-Saharan Africa [Ferlay et al., 2010; Buffart et al., 2011].

Another factor imparting on this disparity is that _H. pylori_ display a remarkable allelic and intraspecies diversity even in the same patient at different times [Fox et al., 2006]. Similarly, epigenetic modifications of the bacterial proteins that mediate the infection vary according to race and geographic regions. One such example is the differential phosphorylation of the putative oncogenic EPIYA (Glu-Pro-Ile-Tyr-Ala) motifs of the _H. pylori_ virulent factor, cytotoxin-associated gene A (CagA) [Fox et al., 2006; Bauer and Meyer, 2011]. Genetic variations in patients also exhibit ethnic and regional differences that reflect on susceptibility to infection and clinical outcome [Fox et al., 2006; Buffart et al., 2011]. Add to this the effect of rising antibiotic resistance, these factors clearly represent a challenge for understanding the etiology of the disease and a barrier for effective diagnosis and treatment.

Accordingly, it has been suggested that environment–host genetic interactions factor strongly in the pathogenesis of the carcinoma [Fox et al., 2006; Bauer and Meyer, 2011]. This type of interaction typically involves signaling pathways responsive to extracellular cues. Dysfunction of such pathways can potentially help explain the etiology and the high disparity of GC, and the observation why it develops in only 5–10% of the infected population after a long duration of infection. Below, we discuss and argue the role of _H. pylori_ infection as a primer by disrupting cell polarity, that alone may be insufficient for tumorigenesis, but that consequent chronic dysfunction of upstream signaling pathways that integrate the machineries of host cell polarity and homeostasis, is the real culprit.

## The _H. pylori_ CagA as a Catalyst, Not a Direct Cause, of GC Etiology

It is still unclear whether _H. pylori_ promote GC by direct epithelial invasion, by injection of its presumptive oncoprotein CagA, or by some combination of the two. Although the bacteria are not invasive and they hide in the mucus layer to avoid the stomach acidity, about 10% adhere to cells and a few have been observed intracellularly [Fox et al., 2006], but the significance of these to GC etiology remains unknown. One line of evidence implicates CagA as an oncoprotein in promoting human GC. CagA is a 120–145kDa _H. pylori_-unique effector protein encoded on the 40-kb cag pathogenicity island, which also encodes the specialized type IV secretion system (T4SS). CagA is injected into the host gastric epithelial cells via the T4SS, leading to the disruption of epithelial differentiation, defined by loss of the apico–basal polarity and cell–cell adhesion [Bagnoli et al., 2005].

The cascade of molecular events presumed to lead to tumorigenesis has been extensively reviewed [Fox et al., 2006; Bauer and Meyer, 2011; Wessler et al., 2011], and is described as to begin with T4SS forming a needle-like membrane protrusion carrying CagA into the host stomach epithelia [Kwok et al., 2007; Kaplan et al., 2012]. CagA utilizes the α5β1 integrin as receptors to enter into the cells by interacting with membrane phosphatidylinerse [Murata-Kamiya et al., 2010]. Following internalization, CagA undergoes tyrosine phosphorylation by nonreceptor tyrosine kinases, first by Src then by Abl [Tammer et al., 2007; Mueller et al., 2012]. Whereas the interplay and specifics of Src and Abl phosphorylation of CagA are unknown, CagA–Abl interaction appears to impede the endocytosis-mediated downregulation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) [Bauer et al., 2009], apparently to attenuate acid secretion in the stomach. Indeed, the EGFR ligand EGF is a known inhibitor of acid secretion in gastric epithelia [Lewin et al., 1999]. Constitutive EGFR activity, a known oncogenic factor, would not only attenuate acid secretion, but also would predispose to oncogenic transformation, thus, instead, supporting deregulation of host signaling as a direct cause of carcinogenesis. Moreover, association of CagA with PAR1, a member of the PAR polarity complex, appears to disrupt epithelial cell polarity, favoring a CagA–SHP-2 (tyrosine phosphatase) interaction, which activates the host extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERK1/2) and promotes an Epithelial–Mesenchymal Transition (EMT)-like phenotype marked by considerable actin polymerization and cellular elongation [Bauer and Meyer, 2011]. Thus, it appears that CagA mimics host adaptor proteins [Schneider et al., 2008] and hijacks signaling pathways for maintaining a nonpolarized phenotype with attenuated acid secretion favorable for bacterial survival.

Indeed, several lines of evidence argue against the CagA-mediated process being the direct mechanism of tumorigenesis. First, CagA has also been detected on the surface of _H. pylori_ [Olofsson et al., 2010]. Although the role of microvesicles and exosomes in tumorigenesis has been established, they also play a more general role in cell–cell communication and signaling. Second, the adhesion integrins are located on the inaccessible basolateral side of epithelia. To gain access, _H. pylori_ disrupt epithelial adherens junctions (AJs) complexes, in a CagA-independent manner, by displacing E-cadherin, and thus releasing the oncogenic β-catenin via Akt-dependent inactivation of the β-catenin inhibitor, GSK3β [Murata-Kamiya et al., 2007;
Dysregulation of IQGAP1-Signal as a Driver of Gastric Carcinoma

Potential Role of IQGAP1-mTORC1-Akt-Signal as a Driver of Gastric Carcinoma

Human IQGAP1 is one of a three-member (IQGAP1-3) family of widely conserved proteins with considerable sequence homology and structural similarities, but they differ in tissue distribution and cellular functions [Mateer et al., 2003; Osman, 2010; Malarkannan et al., 2012]. The modular structure of IQGAP1 and signaling as a phosphorylation-sensitive conformational-switch, positions it as an integrator of signaling pathways involved in cell polarity, growth and proliferation (Fig. 1). Given that the hallmarks associated with H. pylori infection depend on the activation of Rho GTPases [Watanabe et al., 2009], the Cdc42-IQGAP1-signaling axis must play a major role. Involvement of IQGAP1 can provide mechanistic explanations to nearly all aspects of the H. pylori-induced effects on epithelial polarity and apoptosis. Potential roles include: direct modulation of the cytoskeleton, cellular polarity, cell adhesion, motility, proliferation and survival — the hallmarks of tumorigenesis. The dysregulation of IQGAP1 in the context of H. pylori infection can provide mechanistic explanations to nearly all aspects of tumorigenesis.
MAPK signaling would explain the onset of gastric neoplasia, as discussed in the following three sections.

**Potential Role for IQGAP1 in *H. pylori*-Induced Loss of Cell Polarity**

The *H. pylori*-infected stomach tissues display dramatic morphology and changes in the cytoskeleton, but understanding the molecular basis of this requires the recapitulation of *in vitro* studies in human tissues, which to our knowledge has not yet been done. Also, *H. pylori* manipulate the host actin cytoskeleton and adhesion complexes to induce an EMT-like morphology, which could promote cell migration and invasion in tumorigenesis [Wessler et al., 2011]. However, recent evidence supports the notion that *H. pylori* prevents cell migration by subverting the dynamics of focal adhesions (FAs) [Tsutsumi et al., 2006; Schneider et al., 2008], clearly to maintain nonpolarized, but immotile, phenotype with reduced acid secretion, as a refuge, in which targeting IQGAP1 function can play a major role.

IQGAP1 resides upstream of the signaling cascade that regulates cell adhesion and involves the Rho GTPases Cdc42 and Rac1, AJ and TJ molecules, MAPK, and the extracellular matrix (ECM)-integrin system [Mateer et al., 2003] that is hijacked by *H. pylori* for sustaining infection. First, consistent with AJs being specific targets of *H. pylori*, the infection increases IQGAP1 transcript level and leads to the translocation of E-cadherin and IQGAP1 from the AJ membranes to intracellular tubular vesicles/structures [Conlin et al., 2004]. The nature of these vesicular structures is unknown, but it may provide a likely mechanism for cell scattering and induction of the elongated phenotype, and/or denotes changes in IQGAP1-signal. Increasing IQGAP1 expression level enhances IQGAP1's serine phosphorylation and binding to activated Cdc42-GTP
[Rittmeyer et al., 2008] and promotes cell migration and invasion [Wang et al., 2009]. Activated IQGAP1-Cdc42 dissociates AJ by delocalizing β-catenin from the E-cadherin-α-catenin-β-catenin complex, leading to the translocation of β-catenin to the nucleus and the initiation of oncogenic transcriptional events that sustain cell scattering, increased migration and invasion [reviewed in Noritake et al., 2005], and the induction of transformed phenotypes [Wang et al., 2009; Tekletsadik et al., 2012]. Genetic and pharmacologic studies, using dominant-negative and-active mutants, and GTPase-specific inhibitors, identified Rac1, but not RhoA or Cdc42 as crucial components leading to H. pylori-induced cell elongation [Brandt et al., 2007a]. IQGAP1, being a major regulator of epithelial adhesion, interacts with Rac1 or Cdc42 to regulate AJ negatively through dissociation of the E-cadherin-α/β-catenin complex [Noritake et al., 2005], and positively through interactions with nectins [Katata et al., 2003], which are adhesion molecules involved in T) and AJ formation [Sakisaka et al., 2007].

Second, in order to disrupt epithelial polarity and invade the inter-epithelial space, H. pylori also manipulate the FA complexes. The integrin-based FA, composed of the α and β heterodimers, bridges the actin cytoskeleton with the ECM and mediates dynamic cell motility [Schneider et al., 2008; Horwitz, 2012]. Internalization of CagA entails direct interaction with β1 integrin [Kaplan et al., 2012]. Thereafter, CagA-SHP-2 specifically dephosphorylates the FA kinase (FAK) leading to the disruption of FA-ECM and cell polarity, and thus producing the characteristic elongated shape [Tsutsumi et al., 2006] because of failure of cells to release their back end while attempting motility in vitro [Schneider et al., 2008]. As this process likely occurs in vivo, it is tempting to speculate that while it is in the survival interest of H. pylori to disrupt cell polarity by dissociating AJ, it must inactivate the FAs’ dynamics to block cell motility in vivo and sustain infection by residing in the intercellular space of immotile cells. While the H. pylori may create localized disjunction of FAs from actin, it must manipulate the actin cytoskeleton to maintain host cell integrity for sustained infection.

Polarized epithelial cells maintain a dynamic interdependence among the cytoskeleton, AJs and the cell matrix FAs. Actin filament dynamics in the junctional cytoskeleton regulate cadherin junctions and perturbation of either one disrupts the other, and consequently cell and tissue morphogenesis [Ratheesh and Yap, 2012]. E-cadherin binds the F-actin nucleation machinery composed of N-WASP, Arp2/3 and cortactin [Ratheesh and Yap, 2012], and this entire machinery couples to the FA proteins, creating a

Fig. 2. IQGAP1 as a potential target of H. pylori in disrupting epithelial polarity. Schematic representation of polarized epithelia and the role of IQGAP1 in organizing tight junctions (TJ), which separate the apical and basal-lateral membrane, adherent junctions (AJ), which facilitate communication with adjacent cells and the focal adhesion (FA) complex, which facilitate interactions with the extracellular basement membrane (ECM). E-Cadherin is a transmembrane glycoprotein with an extracellular domain that mediates cell-cell adhesion and a cytoplasmic tail that directly binds β-catenin, which serves as a scaffold to anchor α-catenin and actin polymerization machinery (e.g., N-WASP and Arp2/3). IQGAP1 interplays with Rac1 and Cdc42 to regulate this complex and facilitate actin dynamics, thus regulating AJ formation and epithelial polarity. IQGAP1 also binds MT and septins, and regulates endocytic cup formation (endocytosis). These four IQGAP1-based cellular structures provide potential gateways for the entry of H. pylori (red rod with flagella) directly or via its effector protein CagA, into gut epithelia, leading to disruption of cell polarity and deregulation of IQGAP1-signaling, which may ultimately lead to gastric carcinoma.
dynamic cross-talk essential for maintaining cell–cell junctions [Quadri 2012; Rathheesh and Yap, 2012]. One of the molecules mediating such cross-talk is vinculin, an actin-binding protein that associates with both the cadherin complex and the integrins FAs [Ziegler et al., 2006]. Thus, the assumption that disruption of FA dynamics alone accounts for the characteristic elongated shape of *H. pylori*-infected epithelia may require further evaluation. Indeed, CagA-mediated vinculin dephosphorylation disturbs the interaction with and recruitment of Arp2/3 complex, resulting in a reduction of FA complexes [Mose et al., 2006]. Moreover, in response to *H. pylori* infection, activation of MAPK via Rap1 GTPases [Wessler et al., 2011] or protein kinases C (PKCs) [Brandt et al., 2009] leads to ERK1/2-mediated activation of cortactin, which is also a substrate for FAK tyrosine kinase. Active (serine-phosphorylated) cortactin binds and sequesters FAK, leading to dissociation of FAs, and thus contributing to cell elongation [Tegtmeyer et al., 2011]. Therefore, in all likelihood, these findings represent the tip of the iceberg as to the overall number of signaling molecules co-opted by CagA to manipulate the host cell for the bacterial continued survival.

Indeed these molecules are regulated by the interplay of Cdc42 and its regulator-effector IQGAP1. Furthermore, IQGAP1 serves as a regulatory scaffold for ERK1/2 [Tekletsadik et al., 2012], binds Rap1 [Awasthi et al., 2010; Malarkannan et al., 2012] and is regulated by PKC-mediated phosphorylation [Grohmanova et al., 2004]. IQGAP1, being termed a master regulator of actin dynamics, serves as a scaffold regulator for both the E-cadherin-catenin junctional complex and the actin bundling and nucleation machinery composed of N-WASP, Arp2/3 complex and the formin mDia [Mateer et al., 2002, 2003; Bensenor et al., 2007; Brandt and Grosse, 2007; Le Clairche et al., 2007]. It modulates cell migration and invasion in a Cdc42-dependent manner [Wang et al., 2009], and Cdc42 regulates cell adhesion by driving the expression of the adhesion receptor β1 integrin, implicated in metastasis [Raymond et al., 2012] and as a crucial gateway for *H. pylori* CagA entry into the host cytoplasm.

Although the observed *H. pylori* internalization into cells [Fox et al., 2006] has received little attention, and thus the mechanism is unknown, IQGAP1-septin can provide a gateway for bacterial entry, as well as a substrate for CagA-disruption of cell polarity (Fig. 2). Septins (SEPT1-14) comprise an evolutionarily conserved family of GTPases, which form filamentous heteropolymers [Kinoshita 2003; Sirajuddin et al., 2007]. They are considered novel cytoskeletal scaffolds that interact with membranes, actin filaments, and microtubules (MT) for assembling and activating components of cell signaling. Recent evidence implicates SEPT2 in bacterial invasion via a process dependent on PI3K-Akt activation [Mostowy et al., 2012]. IQGAP1 interacts with SEPT2 in different epithelial cell lines to influence exocytosis and cell shape [Rittmeyer et al., 2008]. SEPT2 interaction with MT is required for the biogenesis of the polarized columnar-shaped epithelia and its depletion causes fibroblast-shaped epithelia [Spiliotis et al., 2008], perhaps reminiscent of the *H. pylori*-induced shape. Given IQGAP1’s interactions with actin, MT, septins and Akt, an investigation into its role as a major player in *H. pylori*-mediated disruption of gut epithelial polarity is now warranted. This view is further encouraged by a recent study showing that IQGAP1 mediates β-catenin dissociation from AJs to promote actin polymerization required for *E. coli* K1 invasion, leading to brain oedema in neonatal meningitis [Krishnan et al., 2012].

Importantly, IQGAP1-mediated phagocytosis (Fig. 2) can explain the paradox of invasion when the host cell lacks receptors or the pathogen lacks the ligand. Phagocytosis constitutes an alternative route for invasion, and both IQGAP1 [Brandt et al., 2007b] and its partner SEPT2 [Huang et al., 2008] are required for phagocytic cup formation in an F-actin polymerization-dependent process, where IQGAP1 is a major regulator.

IQGAP1 also modulates epithelial polarity through regulation of TJs, which *H. pylori* must disrupt first to access the AJs. Formation of cadherins-AJ facilitates the assembly of TJs, which are found directly above the AJs, and interference with cadherin-based adhesion disturbs TJs (Fig. 2) [Niessen, 2007]. The core components of TJs include the claudins, a 24-member family of proteins with specific organ and tissue distribution, often abnormally expressed in cancer [Valle and Morin, 2010]. Claudin-3,-4 and-6 serve as receptors for *Clostridium perfringens* enterotoxin (CPE), leading to rapid cytology of cells expressing these proteins, and thus CPE has been sought as therapy for claudin-marked cancers [Takahashi et al., 2011; Lal-Nag et al., 2012; Walther et al., 2012]. Recently we found that IQGAP1 antagonizes the role of TJ claudin-4 via a Cdc42-JNK pathway [Tanous et al., in press]. Further investigation should reveal the mechanism of claudins in cancer, as well as the significance of IQGAP1 regulation of these proteins. However it is attractive to envision that *H. pylori*, directly or through CagA, may interact with TJs via IQGAP1. It is also curious whether interplay of CagA-VacA involves interplay of IQGAP1-claudins or other members of TJs or AJs in regulating apoptosis (atrophy) in stomach epithelia. Unraveling such mechanisms may position VacA as an anticancer therapeutic.

Accordingly, IQGAP1 likely represents a facile target for *H. pylori*-mediated modulation of cell-cell contacts and host actin cytoskeleton (Fig. 2), a hypothesis that warrants investigation. Moreover, the second hallmark of *H. pylori* infection, which is the decreased acid secretion “hypochlorhydria”, can also be explained by IQGAP1’s actions.

**Potential Role for IQGAP1 in *H. pylori*-Induced Hypochlorhydria**

It has been well documented that reduced acid secretion (hypochlorhydria) is linked to colonization by *H. pylori*,
and results in atrophic gastritis, and thus an increased risk of gastric cancer. The molecular mechanism behind the hypochlorhydria development is unclear, but it was explained on the basis that the T4SS-integrins interaction, which leads to the dissociation of the metalloproteinase ADAM17, inhibits the expression of the proton pump enzyme H⁺, K⁺-ATPase [Saha et al., 2010], thus inhibiting acid secretion. While the mechanism leading to atrophic gastritis is also unclear, it has been widely attributed to inflammation via alterations in the cytokine TNF-α and the transcription factor NF-κB [Bauer and Meyer, 2011], which resides downstream of signaling pathways, whose identity is unknown currently. However, reduced hypochlorhydria can be explained based on altered IQGAP1-signal and function.

Cell–cell contacts are active sites of regulated exocytosis, which require the concerted action of the Rho GTPases and their downstream effectors, including the cytoskeleton and the exocytic proteins [Nelson, 2009]. As discussed earlier, decreased AJ would attenuate acid secretion and increase epithelial permeability, allowing nutrient accessibility and increased *H. pylori* survival. Hydrochloric acid secretion in stomach epithelia is signal-controlled via receptors residing on the basolateral membrane that ultimately lead to the insertion of the H⁺, K⁺-ATPase into the apical membranes of parietal cells [Yao and Forte, 2003]. Knockout of IQGAP1, and not IQGAP2, in mice perturbs stomach epithelial cell growth [Li et al., 2000]. In gastric parietal cells, IQGAP1 localizes to the basolateral membrane whereas Cdc42 and IQGAP2 reside in the apical membrane, with IQGAP2 having no effect on acid secretion [Zhou et al., 2003]. In several epithelial cell lines, IQGAP1 localizes to cell–cell contacts and regulates secretion with active Cdc42 acting as a negative regulator [Rittmeyer et al., 2008; Osman, 2010], which may explain their differential polarized distribution as an additional regulatory mechanism.

IQGAP1 regulation of secretion also appears to involve phosphorylation-mediated differential interactions with partner and signaling proteins, where reversible phosphorylation drives a conformational-switch via intradomain interaction (Fig. 1b). Unphosphorylated IQGAP1 has a folded C-terminal domain, which masks the Cdc42-binding region, in which case IQGAP1 promotes secretion and cell growth via interactions with the membrane targeting and tethering machineries, the exocyst, and the t-SNARE Syntaxin 1A, and with the nutrient sensor mTORC1 [Rittmeyer et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2009; Tekletsadik et al., 2012]. EGF-mediated phosphorylation of IQGAP1 allows it to unfold and bind active Cdc42, leading to attenuated secretion [Rittmeyer et al., 2008]. If *H. pylori* were to target IQGAP1, during bacterial or CagA invasion, it would explain the observed delocalization of IQGAP1 to cytoplasmic tubular structures in *H. pylori*-infected cells [Conlin et al., 2004], the attenuation of acid secretion, and the loss of epithelial polarity and its accompanied morphogenetic changes. Furthermore, it would explain the reported deregulation of Akt and ERK1/2 signaling pathways, as IQGAP1, serving as a regulatory scaffold, modulates mTORC1-Akt and ERK1/2 signaling to control cell homeostasis [Wang et al., 2009; Tekletsadik et al., 2012]. Thus, as IQGAP1 inversely regulates secretion and growth, and cell proliferation and transformation by different domains and by modulating mTORC1-Akt and MAPK signaling, this mechanism would underlie its potential role in driving gastric neoplasia, which is discussed below.

### Potential Role for IQGAP1-Signaling Pathway in Gastric Carcinoma

IQGAP1 associates with a wide range of human carcinomas [Johnson et al., 2009], and can induce transformed phenotypes, accelerate the cell cycle, and promote cytokinesis, cell migration and invasion in cell culture [Wang et al., 2009; Tekletsadik et al., 2012]. These features support the view that association of IQGAP1 with human carcinomas represents a cause rather than a consequence of tumorigenesis [Wang et al., 2009], which could be the case in GC. Whereas IQGAP1-knockout mice exhibit gastric hyperplasia and dysplasia [Li et al., 2000], screens for SNPs in *IQGAP1* gene in diffuse-type gastric carcinomas identified infrequent mutations in the GRD, encompassing part of the binding site for the activated Cdc42 and Rac1 [Morris et al., 2005]. The significance of these mutations in GC development has not been analyzed, but they may represent mutations impacting IQGAP1 signal in a subset of GC in that particular population and could be useful for personalized medicine. Indeed, genetic mutations or presence of SNPs in *IQGAP1* do not seem to account for its association with carcinomas, thus supporting the notion that IQGAP1-signal dysfunction must be the culprit in cancer [Wang et al., 2009; Tekletsadik et al., 2012]. IQGAP1 localization appears to correlate with GC tumor differentiation or *H. pylori* infection. In one study, it diffusely localized to the cytoplasm in a subset of intestinal-type tumors, and to the membranes in a subset of diffuse-type tumors, inversely correlating with E-cadherins-α-catenin localization [Takehara et al., 2001]. However, delocalization from the plasma membrane to cytoplasmic vesicles also was observed in *H. pylori*-infected cultured cells [Conlin, 2004], implying changes in IQGAP1’s activity at the cellular level. While more work will be required for sorting out the basis and the significance of these changes, IQGAP1-phosphorylation (activity) likely determines its subcellular distribution and, thus signaling partners [Osman 2010; Tekletsadik et al., 2012]. IQGAP1 binds EGFR [McNulty et al., 2011], and in response to EGF, robustly activates Akt, while attenuating the activities of mTORC1, GSK3α/β, and ERK1/2, thus inhibiting secretion and cell growth and promoting cell transformation [Wang et al., 2009; Tekletsadik et al., 2012]. IQGAP1’s
inactivation of GSK3α/β and dissociation of E-cadherin-α/β-catenin complex, would liberate the oncogenic α-catenin from its inhibitory complex to the nucleus where it can trigger neoplastic transformation. Thus, H. pylori infection may mimic mitogen signals, which could explain why it chronically activates EGFR [Bauer et al., 2009] and why the tumor-suppressor APC, a part of the inhibitory complex of α-catenin [Wu and Pan, 2010] and a binding-partner of IQGAP1 [Watanabe et al., 2004], is suppressed in 50% of gastric carcinomas [Fox et al., 2006]. Interestingly, CagA-transfected cells in vitro, also increased the levels of IQGAP2, R-Ras, and B-Raf and the activity of Erk1/2, independent of Ras activation [Zhu et al., 2005], which is known to activate the MAPK cascade. Whereas the significance of IQGAP2 increase requires further investigation, the enhancement of Ras-independent Erk1/2 activity predicts deregulation of IQGAP1-signal. Comparative analysis of IQGAP1-signaling pathway in diffuse- and intestinal-type carcinomas likely will reveal differences in dysregulation of certain effector and/or regulator proteins, or differences in the degree to which the pathway might be up- or down-regulated. Such analysis could be useful for devising rational strategies for detection and treatment.

Deregulation of Signaling Pathways Can Explain Cancer Disparity

Currently there is no reliable molecular markers for GC aside from HER2 (EGFR), and current treatment regimens rely on histopathologic and anatomic criteria, and neglect possible molecular differences [Shah et al., 2011], which may explain the high mortality rate of GC worldwide. However, there is a current shift towards identifying deregulated signaling pathways [Ooi et al., 2009] as prerequisite for personalized medicine [Yeatman et al., 2012]. Not surprisingly, several studies have identified the Wnt–E-cadherin–β-catenin pathway, which reside downstream of IQGAP1, as a potential oncopathic pathway in the majority of GC [Tanaka et al., 2002; Ooi et al., 2009; Shah et al., 2011]. In this context, analysis of signaling pathways has two advantages. First protein markers are considered more superior to nucleic acid markers, as they represent the actual players in cell function [Mishra and Verma, 2010]. Second, they are likely to identify distinct disparity markers, as signaling pathways are modulated according to the specific external environmental cues in each individual or population case.

Indeed, the American Association for Cancer Research (AACR) has projected that tumors will no longer be defined by their site-of-origin, but rather by their genetic driver-lesion or profile, and that there will be commonalities among different tumors, whereas findings from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) have revealed that cancer comprises hundreds of distinct molecular diseases. Clearly, this warrants investigation of epigenetic changes arising from gene–environment interactions—typically manifested at the signaling, and not at the genetic level—for devising more personalized approaches to diagnostics and treatment. Delineating the IQGAP1–Cdc42–mTORC1–Akt–MAPK pathway in gastric carcinoma will likely meet this goal. Being a central pathway for cell growth control, and a nutrient- and growth factor-sensor that cross-talks with essential pathways that regulate cell homeostasis, this pathway will likely yield environment-specific markers. Indeed, it appears that this pathway, if tilted to the right, promotes tumorigenesis via Akt, and to the left it could promote cytogenesis arrest and apoptosis via ERK1/2/GSK3αβ, with IQGAP1 serving as a molecular rheostat (Fig. 1b) [Wang et al., 2009; Tekletsadik et al., 2012]. Thus, the factors that modulate the pathway—signal–dynamics will also be important. Furthermore, bioinformatic analyses [Osman, unpublished] predict that a number of epigenetic processes including various microRNAs (miRs) regulate the diversity of the pathway cellular functions. These miRs can represent facile diagnostics and targeted therapeutics [Rottiers and Näär, 2012], likely reflective of ethnicity, gender, and geographic location, and can be valuable as disparity biomarkers.
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